



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL  
1322 PATTERSON AVENUE SE, SUITE 3000  
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20374-5066

JAGINST 1150.2D CH-1  
Code 05  
JAN 0 5 2018


JAG INSTRUCTION 1150.2D CHANGE TRANSMITTAL 1

From: Judge Advocate General

Subj: MILITARY JUSTICE LITIGATION CAREER TRACK

Encl: (1) Revised Page 2 of Enclosure (7) Litigation Career Track Billets

1. Purpose. To transmit new page 2 to enclosure (7), which contains an updated list of Military Justice Litigation Career Track (MJLCT) billets.
2. Action. Remove page 2 of enclosure (7) of the basic instruction and insert enclosure (1).
3. Records Management. Records created as a result of this change transmittal, regardless of media or format, must be managed per Secretary of the Navy Manual 5210.1 of January 2012.

  
J. W. CRAWFORD III

**Releasability and distribution:**

This change transmittal is cleared for public release and is available electronically via Department of the Navy Directives website, <http://doni.daps.dla.mil>, as well as the official Judge Advocate General's Corps website, <http://www.jag.navy.mil>.



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL  
1322 PATTERSON AVENUE SE, SUITE 3000  
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20374-5066

IN REPLY REFER TO:

JAGINST 1150.2D  
Code 05  
FEB 15 2017

**JAG INSTRUCTION 1150.2D**

**From:** Judge Advocate General

**Subj:** MILITARY JUSTICE LITIGATION CAREER TRACK

**Ref:** (a) NAVPERS 15839I, Volume I  
(b) MILPERSMAN 1214-010  
(c) JAGINST 1520.1 (series)  
(d) JAG/CNLSCINST 1500.2 (series)

**Encl:** (1) Sample MJLCT Career Path  
(2) MJLCT Experience Standards  
(3) SPECIALIST I Sample Application  
(4) SPECIALIST II Sample Application  
(5) EXPERT Sample Application  
(6) MJLCT Appraisal Form  
(7) MJLCT Track Billets

1. **Purpose.** To provide information and procedural guidance for the Navy Judge Advocate General's (JAG) Corps Military Justice Litigation Career Track (MJLCT) program and to establish guidelines for the selection, professional development, and detailing of Military Justice Litigation Qualified (MJLQ) officers.

2. **Cancellation.** JAGINST 1150.2C.

3. **Discussion.**

a. MJLCT Mission: The delivery of military justice is both a core competency and a primary mission of the JAG Corps. To meet this mission, the JAG Corps has established a litigation career track to identify, select, develop, and train judge advocates who have demonstrated an aptitude for military justice litigation. Recognizing that litigation skills are perishable, the JAG Corps is committed to providing MJLCT officers the education, training, and courtroom experience to develop and maintain the critical skill sets needed to litigate and preside over complex criminal cases. MJLCT officers fill litigation-intensive billets, ensure the effectiveness and efficiency of the courts-martial process, and are available for emergent assignments that require expertise in military justice and criminal litigation.

b. MJLCT Personnel Overview: The Assistant Judge Advocate General (AJAG) Chief Judge, Department of the Navy (CJDON), is the community sponsor for the litigation career track and is responsible for ensuring MJLQ officers are provided the requisite leadership, training, and duty assignments for professional development as litigators and as naval officers.

Within the career track, officers apply for three levels of MJLQ expertise in sequence, as described in paragraph 4 below. Officers enter the MJLCT and advance in qualification level through application to an annual MJLCT Board (Board), as described in paragraph 5 below.

- c. Enclosure (1) provides a graphic representation of a sample MJLCT career path.

#### 4. Qualifications and Requirements.

- a. **SPECIALIST I Qualification:** A judge advocate may qualify as SPECIALIST I, the entry point for admission to the MJLCT, after demonstrating military justice litigation proficiency and MJLCT potential. Candidates are eligible for SPECIALIST I upon completion of their **fourth year** of active duty.

- b. **SPECIALIST II Qualification:** Candidates will typically be eligible for SPECIALIST II after their **fifth year** as a SPECIALIST I. A judge advocate may be designated as SPECIALIST II after sufficient additional qualitative and quantitative military justice litigation experience and professional development as a naval officer.

- c. **EXPERT Qualification:** Candidates will typically be eligible for designation as EXPERT after their **fifth year** as a SPECIALIST II. A judge advocate may be qualified as EXPERT after significant additional military justice litigation experience and demonstrated leadership of judge advocates. The designation of EXPERT is ordinarily reserved for those judge advocates who are eligible for assignment to the senior-most MJLCT positions. To ensure broader knowledge of the naval service for those in leadership positions, applicants for EXPERT MJLQ should generally have served in a non-litigation billet while a field-grade officer.

- d. **Requirements:** Although not binding on the selection board, designation as SPECIALIST I, SPECIALIST II, and EXPERT will generally require the experience outlined at Enclosure (2). Judge advocates seeking waiver of the requirements at Enclosure (2) shall provide justification in the application.

#### 5. Board Procedures.

- a. The AJAG (CJDON) will convene an annual MJLCT Board (Board), at a date, time, and location convenient to the administration of the Board, to review applications and select judge advocates for initial admission into the career track as SPECIALIST I officers and for designation of MJLQ officers as SPECIALIST II or EXPERT. The board membership will include active-duty judge advocates with significant military justice litigation experience and such other judge advocates as may be appointed.

- b. The Board will review all timely applications. Selection for qualification will be in accordance with a precept approved by the JAG. The number of judge advocates qualified each year may be limited by the JAG based on the anticipated needs of the JAG Corps.

- c. Applications for SPECIALIST I, SPECIALIST II, and EXPERT must be submitted to the President of the Board, via the chain-of-command, following the formats provided at Enclosures (3)-(6). Judge advocates who are unable to meet a particular application requirement (e.g.,

inability to obtain recommendations from MJLQ judge advocates) shall explain any variance from this instruction in their applications.

6. MJLCT Detailing Considerations.

a. MJLCT Billets. As reflected on Enclosure (7), the JAG has designated as MJLCT billets (track billets) those OJAG/NLSC billets that require significant litigation and military justice knowledge. A central tenet of the MJLCT program is that officers should remain predominantly in litigation and military justice billets to develop and maintain perishable litigation skills. Consequently, MJLQ officers should expect to be primarily detailed to these identified track billets over the course of their career.

b. Non-Track Billets. As judge advocates seek MJLQ advancement, they will be required to demonstrate greater courtroom expertise, the leadership skills expected of naval officers, and familiarity with the broader mission of the Navy. MJLQ officers and judge advocates interested in applying for the career track are encouraged to seek out non-track billets that will enhance their fleet perspective and develop leadership skills, particularly sea duty, overseas duty, and staff judge advocate positions with significant military justice portfolios. As noted in paragraph 4.c., applicants for EXPERT MJLQ status should have experience in such non-litigation billets while serving as a field-grade officer prior to their application.

7. AQDs, SSP Officer Codes, and Postgraduate Education

a. Additional Qualification Designations (AQDs). In accordance with reference (a), MJLQ officers receive an AQD that reflects the officer's status as SPECIALIST I, SPECIALIST II, or EXPERT. The AQDs are documented in the applicant's Official Military Personnel File (OMPF) upon selection by the Board.

b. Subspecialty Officer Codes (SSP). SSP are part of the Bureau of Naval Personnel's Officer Subspecialty System governed by references (a) and (b). For MJLQ officers, SSP supplement the AQDs discussed above and pertain to the MJLCT as follows:

(1) In accordance with the 1202 SSP Core Skills Requirements (CSR), MJLQ officers are designated 1202S (denoting significant experience) upon selection as SPECIALIST I. Upon completion of an LL.M. in trial advocacy, officers are designated as 1202P.

(2) Like AQDs, SSP officer codes are documented in the OMPF. MJLQ officers should monitor their OMPF to ensure their SSP officer code has been properly documented. The JAG Corps Postgraduate School Coordinator (PERS-4416B) maintains the 1202 SSP CSR, changes to which require the concurrence of AJAG (CJDON).

c. Trial Advocacy Postgraduate Education. Detailing of officers to postgraduate school will be in accordance with reference (c) and this instruction.

(1) A judge advocate will not be assigned to trial advocacy postgraduate curriculum without first qualifying as SPECIALIST I. Judge advocates participating in Navy-funded postgraduate education are required to complete subsequent assignments utilizing their trial advocacy postgraduate education in accordance with reference (d).

(2) The JAG Corps Postgraduate School Coordinator (PERS-4416B) maintains the Trial Advocacy Curriculum, the 1202 SSP Educational Skills Requirements (ESR), and the list of schools approved for the trial advocacy curriculum. Changes to the curriculum or the ESR require the concurrence of AJAG (CJDON).

8. Recruiting, Mentoring, and Training.

a. The underlying premise of the MJLCT is that excellence in military justice litigation is dependent on three factors: selection and detailing of the correct judge advocates for the career track; careful cultivation of the junior judge advocates in military justice litigation; and direct involvement of senior MJLQ officers in military justice cases to develop junior counsel.


b. Recruiting: To build and sustain the career track, senior MJLQ officers are expected to identify junior judge advocates with an aptitude for litigation and to assist those officers in building the skills necessary for selection as an MJLQ officer.

c. Mentoring: Every SPECIALIST I or II officer shall be assigned a senior MJLQ officer as a mentor. Senior MJLQ officers shall ensure the training and professional development of junior MJLQ officers such that SPECIALIST II or EXPERT qualification can be obtained as appropriate.

d. Training: Supervisory MJLQ officers shall develop and implement long-term litigation training plans in accordance with reference (d) to cultivate the critical skills necessary for the success of the junior judge advocate litigators under their supervision. All MJLQ officers in litigation billets are expected to participate actively in case preparation with junior judge advocates and to litigate courts-martial as counsel of record with junior judge advocates.

9. MJLCT BOARD OF ADVISORS (MBOA). The MBOA shall provide recommendations regarding strategic guidance to AJAG (CJDON) about MJLCT development and improvement, including recommendations regarding changes to this instruction, training, utilization tours for MJLCT members, detailing of judge advocates, and recruitment or identification of new MJLCT candidates. The MBOA shall meet quarterly, at the call of the chair, and may do so virtually. The MBOA shall be comprised of AJAG (CJDON) as the Chair, the senior MJLQ judge advocate within the trial judiciary, the senior MJLQ judge advocate within the appellate judiciary, the Directors of the Trial and Defense Counsel Assistance Programs, and the Division Director, Criminal Law Division (OJAG (Code 20)). AJAG (CJDON) may appoint *ad hoc* members who are detailed to MJLQ-required billets not represented by the permanent membership.

10. Point of Contact. Special Assistant to the AJAG (CJDON) at (202) 685-5920 DSN 325.



J. W. CRAWFORD III

Distribution:

Electronic only, via Navy Directives website, <http://doni.daps.dla.mil>; and the OJAG website, <http://www.jag.navy.mil>.

**Sample Career Path**

YEAR	ASSIGNMENT	EXPLANATION	
<b>LIEUTENANT</b>			
0 to 2	First Tour Judge Advocate	Judge advocates must have at least 4 years on active duty to apply for SPECIALIST I qualification.	
2 to 4	RLSO/DSO Counsel Installation SJA/SAUSA NJS/USNA Instructor CVN #2 OJAG Action Officer Appellate Counsel		
<b>Selected as SPECIALIST I</b>			
4 to 8	RLSO/DSO Counsel Installation SJA/Felony SAUSA NJS Instructor CVN #2 OJAG Action Officer Appellate Counsel VLC	First tour SPECIALIST I officers should focus on development of litigation skills and mentoring new counsel. Eligible for S-Code (1202).	<i>Generally, officers should serve as a SPECIALIST I for at least 5 years before seeking designation as a SPECIALIST II</i>
<b>LIEUTENANT COMMANDER</b>			
7 to 11	STC/ASTC/SDC/ASDC TYCOM/Region DSJA/NCIS DSJA NJS Instructor/Dept. Head CVN #1 OJAG Branch Head TCAP/DCAP Dep. Dir. Military Commissions VLC	SPECIALIST I officers can seek to develop their skills as both a litigator and as a naval officer through a variety of both track and non-track billets.	
<b>Selected as SPECIALIST II</b>			
10 to 12	STC/ASTC/SDC/SDC TYCOM/Region DSJA/NCIS DSJA NJS Instructor/Dept. Head CVN #1 OJAG Branch Head TCAP/DCAP Dep. Dir. LL.M. in Trial Advocacy (or Equivalent)	Eligible for P-Code (1202) after completion of LL.M.	<i>Generally, officers should serve as a SPECIALIST II for at least 5 years before seeking designation as an EXPERT</i>
<b>COMMANDER</b>			
12 to 18	RLSO XO/STC DSO XO/OIC/SDC Region SJA/NCIS SJA OJAG Deputy Dir. Trial/Appellate Judge TCAP/DCAP Dir./Dep. Dir. LL.M. in Trial Advocacy (or Equivalent)	SPECIALIST II judge advocates should experience the wide variety of skills demanded of courtroom counsel and seek detail to significant leadership billets prior to EXPERT application.	
<b>Selected as EXPERT</b>			
<b>CAPTAIN</b>			
17 to 30	RLSO/DSO CO Circuit Trial/Senior Appellate Judge OJAG Dir. TCAP/DCAP Dir. Chief Trial/Appellate Judge	EXPERT judge advocates are responsible for identifying, recruiting, training and mentoring junior MJLQ judge advocates.	
<b>Capstone as CHIEF JUDGE, DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (CJDON)</b>			

**MJLCT Experience Standards**

Generally, applicants for SPECIALIST I (SPEC I), SPECIALIST II (SPEC II), and EXPERT (EXP) should meet the experience standards below. These standards are guideposts, provided to assist you in quantifying your experience for the selection board. They are not intended as strict minimums for selection.

	<u>SPEC I</u>	<u>SPEC II</u>	<u>EXP</u>
<b>Time (years) in service</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Time in previous qualification</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Courts-martial/Complex Hearings (in any capacity)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50</b>

	<u>SPEC I</u>	<u>SPEC II</u>	<u>EXP</u>
<b><u>Other Experience</u></b>			
<b>Witness Examination</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Expert Witness Preparation</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Oral Advocacy/Argument</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Written Advocacy/Argument</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Instructor (Litigation Courses)</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>

You should identify all litigation experience in which you substantially participated. Ordinarily, this would include participation as military judge, trial counsel or defense counsel, but may also include experience as Victim's Legal Counsel, appellate counsel, counsel for respondent or recorder, SAUSA, or other advocacy-related tasks.

The selection board may consider designation based on a lesser number of submissions in any of these categories if the applicant clearly demonstrates sufficient active litigation participation to establish an enhanced level of experience warranting the qualification sought.



**SPECIALIST I Sample Application**

*Date*

From: *Name of Applicant*  
To: President, Military Justice Litigation Qualification Selection Board  
Via: Commanding Officer

Subj: REQUEST FOR SPECIALIST I QUALIFICATION

Ref: (a) JAGINST 1150.2D

Encl: (1) Officer Fitness Reports (*include all FITREPS as one enclosure*)  
(2) Officer Summary Record  
(3) Performance Summary Record  
(4) MJLQ Appraisal #1 (*LAW enclosure (6)*)  
(5) MJLQ Appraisal #2 (*LAW enclosure (6)*)  
(6) Writing Sample (*less than 5 pages*) or Argument Excerpt (*less than 10 pages*)

1. Pursuant to reference (a), I hereby request selection for SPECIALIST I Military Justice Litigation Qualification.

2. In addition to enclosures (1) through ( ), the following information is provided:

a. Summary of Litigation-Related Experience. (*Explain how litigation-related experience meets the standards of enclosure (2), distinguishing between cases tried as trial or defense counsel, or in another role, such as VLC, and other hearings or proceedings. Summarize any other experience that merits the Board's consideration.*)

b. Brief description of **three** cases participated in. (*Include a brief description of three cases, including the location and year of the trial, the applicant's role, and the judge before whom the case was tried, as in example below.*)

(1) *U.S. v. Example*: General court-martial of a petty officer accused of killing his six month old child. The accused was initially charged in State court, but his statement to local police was ruled inadmissible by the trial judge and his case was dismissed. His statement was introduced at his subsequent court-martial; significant motions practice to pre-admit the accused's statement. Case involved presentation of complex medical evidence and expert testimony. Lead TC; San Diego, 2015; Military Judge - CAPT Smith.

c. List billet assignments and duty stations in reverse chronological order. (*Include the number of attorneys supervised, if applicable.*)

d. Personal Statement. (*Include a brief statement of the applicant's motivation for the career track, and provide any additional information for Board's consideration of application.*)

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE

Enclosure (3)



**SPECIALIST II Sample Application**

*Date*

From: *Name of Applicant*  
To: President, Military Justice Litigation Qualification Selection Board  
Via: Commanding Officer

Subj: REQUEST FOR SPECIALIST II QUALIFICATION

Ref: (a) JAGINST 1150.2D

Encl: (1) Officer Fitness Reports (*include all FITREPS since SPECIALIST I qualification*)  
(2) Officer Summary Record  
(3) Performance Summary Record  
(4) MJLQ Recommendation #1 (*IAW enclosure (6)*)  
(5) MJLQ Recommendation #2 (*IAW enclosure (6)*)

1. Pursuant to reference (a), I hereby request selection for SPECIALIST II Military Justice Litigation Qualification.

2. In addition to enclosures (1) through ( ), the following information is provided:

a. Summary of Litigation-Related Experience. (*Explain how litigation-related experience meets the standards of enclosure (2), distinguishing between cases tried as trial or defense counsel, or in another role, such as VLC, and other hearings or proceedings. Summarize any other experience that merits the Board's consideration.*)

b. Brief description of **three** cases participated in. (*Include a brief description of three cases, including the location of the trials, the approximate dates, the applicant's role at trial, and the judges before whom the cases were tried, as in example below.*)

(1) *U.S. v. Example*: General court-martial of a petty officer accused of killing his six month old child. The accused was initially charged in State court, but his statement to local police was ruled inadmissible by the trial judge and his case was dismissed. His statement was introduced at his subsequent court-martial; significant motions practice to pre-admit the accused's statement. Case involved presentation of complex medical evidence and expert testimony. Lead TC; San Diego, 2015; Military Judge - CAPT Smith.

c. List billet assignments and duty stations since Specialist I qualification in reverse chronological order. (*Include the number of attorneys supervised, if applicable.*)

d. Personal Statement. (*Include a brief statement of the applicant's motivation for the career track, and provide any additional information for Board's consideration of application.*)

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE

**EXPERT Sample Application**

*Date*

From: *Name of Applicant*  
To: President, Military Justice Litigation Qualification Selection Board  
Via: Reporting Senior

Subj: REQUEST FOR EXPERT QUALIFICATION

Ref: (a) JAGINST 1150.2D

Encl: (1) Officer Fitness Reports (*include all FITREPS since SPECIALIST II qualification*)  
(2) Officer Summary Record  
(3) Performance Summary Record  
(4) MJLQ Recommendation #1 (*IAW enclosure (6)*)  
(5) MJLQ Recommendation #2 (*IAW enclosure (6)*)

1. Pursuant to reference (a), I hereby request selection for EXPERT Military Justice Litigation Qualification.

2. In addition to enclosures (1) through ( ), the following information is provided:

a. Summary of Litigation-Related Experience. (*Explain how litigation-related experience meets the standards of enclosure (2), distinguishing between cases tried as trial or defense counsel, or in another role, such as VLC, and other hearings or proceedings. Summarize any other experience that merits the Board's consideration.*)

b. Brief description of **three** cases participated in. (*Include a brief description of three cases, including the location of the trials, the approximate dates, the applicant's role at trial, and the judges before whom the cases were tried, as in example below.*)

(1) *U.S. v. Example*: General court-martial of a petty officer accused of killing his six month old child. The accused was initially charged in State court, but his statement to local police was ruled inadmissible by the trial judge and his case was dismissed. His statement was introduced at his subsequent court-martial; significant motions practice to pre-admit the accused's statement. Case involved presentation of complex medical evidence and expert testimony. Lead TC; San Diego, 2015; Military Judge - CAPT Smith.

c. List billet assignments and duty stations since Specialist II qualification in reverse chronological order (*Include the number of attorneys supervised, if applicable.*)

d. Summary of Leadership Experience. (*Provide summary of significant leadership positions, experiences and challenges faced in litigation or in non-litigation billets.*)

e. Personal Statement. (*Include a brief statement of the applicant's motivation for the career track, and provide any additional information for Board's consideration of application.*)

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE

Enclosure (5)

**Enclosure (6) in this document is replaced by the fillable PDF Appraisal Form**

**Litigation Career Track Billets**

<u>BILLET</u>	<u>DUTY STATION</u>	<u>PAYGRADE</u>
Chief Judge, DoN (AJAG/O5)	WNY	CAPT
Appellate Judge NMCCA	WNY	CAPT
Appellate Judge NMCCA	WNY	CAPT
Appellate Judge NMCCA	WNY	CAPT
Chief Trial Judge, NMCTJ	WNY	CAPT
Circuit Judge, Southern Circuit	Jacksonville	CAPT
Circuit Judge, Pacific Circuit	Yokosuka	CAPT
Circuit Judge, Central Circuit	Norfolk	CAPT
Military Judge, Central Circuit	Norfolk	CDR
Military Judge, Western Circuit	San Diego	CAPT
Military Judge, Western Circuit	San Diego	CDR
Military Judge, Western Circuit	Bremerton	CDR
Military Judge, Northern Circuit	WNY	CDR
Military Judge, Hawaii Circuit	Pearl Harbor	CDR
Division Director, Code 20	WNY	CAPT
Branch Head, Code 20	WNY	CDR
Branch Head, Code 20	WNY	LCDR
Action Officer, Code 20	WNY	LCDR/LT
Appellate Counsel, Code 45	WNY	LCDR
Appellate Counsel, Code 46	WNY	LCDR
Director, TCAP	WNY	CAPT
Deputy Director, TCAP	WNY	LCDR
Director, DCAP	Flexible	CDR
Deputy Director, DCAP	Flexible	LCDR
Military Justice Instructor, NJS	Newport	LCDR
RLSO MIDLANT STC	Norfolk	CDR
RLSO MIDLANT ASTC	Norfolk	LCDR
RLSO MIDLANT TC	Norfolk	LCDR
RLSO MIDLANT TC	Norfolk	LT
RLSO SW STC	San Diego	CDR
RLSO SW ASTC	San Diego	LCDR
RLSO SW TC	San Diego	LCDR
RLSO SE STC	Jacksonville	CDR
RLSO SE Det Mayport OIC/TC	Mayport	LCDR
RLSO SE Det Pensacola OIC/TC	Pensacola	LCDR
RLSO NW STC	Bremerton	LCDR
RLSO NW TC	Bremerton	LT
RLSO JAPAN STC	Yokosuka	LCDR
RLSO NDW STC	WNY	LCDR
RLSO EURAFSWA STC	Naples	LCDR
RLSO MIDWEST STC	GLakes	LCDR
RLSO HAWAII STC	Pearl Harbor	LCDR

5 Jan 2018

DSO SE CO (or XO)	Norfolk	CAPT (CDR)
DSO SE SDC	Norfolk	LCDR
DSO SE ASDC	Norfolk	LCDR
DSO SE Det Mayport OIC	Mayport	CDR
DSO SE Branch JAX BH	Jacksonville	LCDR
DSO SE Det Pensacola OIC	Pensacola	LCDR
DSO WEST CO (or XO)	San Diego	CAPT (CDR)
DSO WEST SDC	San Diego	LCDR
DSO WEST ASDC	San Diego	LCDR
DSO WEST Det NW OIC	Bremerton	CDR
DSO WEST Det NW DC	Bremerton	LT
DSO PAC CO (or XO)	Yokosuka	CAPT (CDR)
DSO PAC SDC	Yokosuka	LCDR
DSO PAC HI OIC	Pearl Harbor	LCDR
DSO NORTH CO (or XO)	WNY	CAPT (CDR)
DSO NORTH SDC	WNY	LCDR
DSO NORTH Det EURAFSWA OIC	Naples	CDR
DSO NORTH Det GLakes DC	GLakes	LT
VLC East	Norfolk/JAX	LCDR/LT
VLC West	San Diego/NW	LCDR/LT
OMC-Prosecution	Washington, D.C.	CDR/LCDR/LT
OMC-Defense	Washington, D.C.	CDR/LCDR/LT
NCIS SJA/DSJA	Quantico	LCDR/LT
NCIS SAUSA (Felony SAUSA)	Norfolk	LT
<u>PREFERRED DISASSOCIATED BILLETS</u>		
CVN CJA/ACJA	various	LCDR/LT
Region SJA Staff	various	CAPT/CDR/LCDR/LT
SURFLANT/PAC FJA Staff	Norfolk/San Diego	CAPT/CDR/LCDR/LT
RLSO CO/XO	various	CAPT/CDR